Price's law on Black Hole Spacetimes

Daniel Tataru

University of California, Berkeley

Bertinoro, September 2011

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- Daniel Tataru. Local decay of waves on asymptotically flat stationary space-times. arXiv:0910.5290., to appear, American Journal of Mathematics
- Jason Metcalfe, Daniel Tataru and Mihai Tohaneanu Price's Law on Nonstationary Spacetimes arXiv:1104.5437
- Jason Metcalfe, Jacob Sterbenz, Daniel Tataru and Mihai Tohaneanu Local decay of electromagnetic waves on asymptotically flat space-times. in preparation

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー



Vacuum Einstein Equations

 $R_{ij}=0$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- cosmological constant $\Lambda = 0$
- System of nonlinear wave equations
- 10 equations for 10 unknowns g_{ij}

Vacuum Einstein Equations

 $R_{ij}=0$

- cosmological constant $\Lambda = 0$
- System of nonlinear wave equations
- 10 equations for 10 unknowns g_{ij}
- 4 relations between equations $\nabla^{\alpha} R_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \implies$ 6 independent equations
- 4 degrees of (gauge) freedom = choice of coordinates

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The Minkowski space-time (1907)



-mathematical setting of special relativity

 $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ $ds^2 = -dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

The Schwarzschild space time (1915)



- spherically symmetric stationary black hole
- parametrized by the mass M
- event horizon at r = 2M.
- image by Penrose (Scientific American)

The Kerr space time (1963)

- rotating axisymmetric black hole
- ▶ parametrized by mass *M* and angular momentum *aM*
- Kerr(M,a=0) = Schwarzschild(M)

In polar Boyer-Lindquist coordinates

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{\Delta - a^{2}\sin^{2}\theta}{\rho^{2}}dt^{2} + -\frac{4aMr\sin^{2}\theta}{\rho^{2}}dtd\phi + \frac{\rho^{2}}{\Delta}dr^{2}$$
$$+ \frac{(r^{2} + a^{2})^{2} - a^{2}\Delta\sin^{2}\theta}{\rho^{2}}\sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2} + \rho^{2}d\theta^{2}$$

with

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2, \qquad \rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta.$$

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣・のへで

The stability question

Theorem (Christodoulou-Klainerman '90)

The Minkowski space-time is nonlinearly stable as a solution to the vacuum Einstein equation.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Open Problem

Are the Schwarzschild/Kerr solutions stable ?

The stability question

Theorem (Christodoulou-Klainerman '90)

The Minkowski space-time is nonlinearly stable as a solution to the vacuum Einstein equation.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Open Problem

Are the Schwarzschild/Kerr solutions stable ?

Open Problem

Are the Schwarzschild/Kerr solutions linearly stable ?

A hierarchy of equations:

1. Scalar waves:

$$g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}\phi=0$$

2. Maxwell's equations (spin 1)

$$g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}V_{\gamma}=0, \qquad \nabla^{\gamma}V_{\gamma}=0$$

- 4 equations for 4 unknowns (electromagnetic potential),
- ► one relation between equations ⇒ 3 independent equations + 1 degree of freedom (gauge choice)

3. Linearized gravity (spin 2)

$$g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}h_{\gamma\delta} = 2g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}R_{\gamma\mu\delta\alpha}h_{\nu\beta} \qquad \nabla^{\gamma}h_{\gamma\delta} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\delta}h_{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

- 10 equations for 10 unknowns
- ► 4 relations between equations ⇒ 6 independent equations + 4 degrees of freedom (gauge choice)

A hierarchy of equations:

1. Scalar waves: ... this talk ...

$$g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}\phi=0$$

2. Maxwell's equations (spin 1) ... work in progress ...

$$g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}V_{\gamma}=0, \qquad \nabla^{\gamma}V_{\gamma}=0$$

- 4 equations for 4 unknowns (electromagnetic potential),
- ► one relation between equations ⇒ 3 independent equations + 1 degree of freedom (gauge choice)
- 3. Linearized gravity (spin 2)... not too distant future ...

$$g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}h_{\gamma\delta} = 2g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}R_{\gamma\mu\delta\alpha}h_{\nu\beta} \qquad \nabla^{\gamma}h_{\gamma\delta} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\delta}h_{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

- 10 equations for 10 unknowns
- ► 4 relations between equations ⇒ 6 independent equations + 4 degrees of freedom (gauge choice)

- Separation of variables for scalar waves in Kerr: Carter '67
- Maxwell/linearized gravity: partial uncoupling/separation of variables in Kerr: Teukolsky '73

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- Separation of variables for scalar waves in Kerr: Carter '67
- Maxwell/linearized gravity: partial uncoupling/separation of variables in Kerr: Teukolsky '73

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

S.Chandraseckar

The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes '85, p.497:

- Separation of variables for scalar waves in Kerr: Carter '67
- Maxwell/linearized gravity: partial uncoupling/separation of variables in Kerr: Teukolsky '73

S.Chandraseckar The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes '85, p.497:

"The analysis is addressed to the problem of [linearized] gravitational perturbations of the Kerr space-time In the Newman-Penrose formalism ... we have to solve for ... fifty real quantities. For the solution we have seventy-six real equations. The solutions must be consistent with ten degrees of gauge freedom ..."

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへの

Linear wave decay in flat space



Flat space with localized potential

 $\Box u(t, x) = V(x)u(t, x), \qquad u(0, x) = u_0(x), \ \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1(x)$

with nice, small, compactly supported *V*. Local exponential decay (Morawetz, Lax 70's):



Flat space with polynomial potential

 $\Box u(t, x) = V(x)u(t, x), \qquad u(0, x) = u_0(x), \ \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1(x)$ $|V(x)| \le \epsilon \langle r \rangle^{-3}$ Direct iteration:



Linear wave decay in Kerr/Schwarzschild

Near infinity: $g = m + O_{rad}(1/r) + O(1/r^2)$, $V \sim r^{-3}$.



Trapped light rays in a compact set:

- Along the event horizon
- Along the photon sphere

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Linear wave decay in Kerr/Schwarzschild

Near infinity: $g = m + O_{rad}(1/r) + O(1/r^2)$, $V \sim r^{-3}$.



Trapped light rays in a compact set:

- Along the event horizon
- red shift \implies exponential decay

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ 聞 ト ・ 聞 ト ・ 聞

Along the photon sphere

Linear wave decay in Kerr/Schwarzschild

Near infinity: $g = m + O_{rad}(1/r) + O(1/r^2)$, $V \sim r^{-3}$.



Trapped light rays in a compact set:

- Along the event horizon
- red shift \implies exponential decay

◆□▶ ◆帰▶ ◆ヨ▶ ◆ヨ▶ = ● ののの

- Along the photon sphere
- Uncertainty principle + hyperbolic instability

R. Price '74 Heuristics for t^{-3} local decay for scalar waves in Schwarzschild. (Price's Law)

Further work:



R. Price '74 Heuristics for t^{-3} local decay for scalar waves in Schwarzschild. (Price's Law)

Further work:

- Schwarzschild/Kerr heuristics: Ching-Leung-Suen-Young
- Schwarzschild uniform boundedness: Wald, Kay-Wald
- Schwarzschild t⁻¹ decay, Blue-Sterbenz and Dafermos-Rodnianski t^{-3/2} decay Luk
- Schwarzschild: t^{-3-2l} for spherical modes, Kronthaler(radial l = 0), Donninger-Schlag-Soffer (all modes l > 0)
- ► Kerr: first decay results by Finster-Kamran-Smoller-Yau
- ▶ Kerr: *t*⁻¹ decay, Dafermos-Rodnianski and Andersson-Blue

The main result: Take 1

Theorem (T. '09, Metcalfe-T.-Tohaneanu '11) $t^{-1}(|t - r| + 1)^{-2}$ local decay for scalar waves in Schwarzschild, Kerr with small angular momentum, and small perturbations thereof:



◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ = 臣 = のへぐ

Set-up, the geometry at infinity Equation:

$$(CP) \qquad (\Box_g + V)u = 0, \qquad u_{|\Sigma_0} = u_0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial v_{|\Sigma_0}} = u_1$$

where *g* has smooth coefficients and geometry at infinity:

$$g = m + g_{sr} + g_{lr},$$

where *m* is the Minkowski metric, g_{lr} is a long range spherically symmetric component, with $S_{rad}^{Z}(r^{-1})$ coefficients,

$$g_{lr} = g_{lr,tt}(r)dt^2 + g_{lr,tr}(r)dtdr + g_{lr,rr}(r)dr^2 + g_{lr,\omega\omega}(r)r^2d\omega^2$$

and g_{sr} is a short range component, with $S^{Z}(r^{-2})$ coefficients,

$$g_{sr} = g_{sr,tt}dt^2 + 2g_{sr,ti}dtdx_i + g_{sr,ij}dx_idx_j$$

while *V* has the form

$$V = V_{lr} + V_{sr}, \qquad V_{lr} \in S^Z(r^{-3})$$

Vector fields $Z = (\partial_{\alpha}, \Omega = x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i, S = t \partial_t + x_i \partial_x)$

Set-up: the local geometry

Case A: Minkowski-like. Domain $D = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$, foliation $\Sigma_t = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \{t\}$,

(i) The surfaces Σ_t are space-like.

Case B: Black hole. (e.g. Schwarzschild with $R_0 < 2M$ Domain $D = \mathbb{R}^3/B(0, R_0) \times \mathbb{R}^+$, foliation $\Sigma_t = \mathbb{R}^3/B(0, R_0) \times \{t\}$,

- (i) The surfaces Σ_t are space-like.
- (ii) The lateral boundary $S(0, R_0) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ is outgoing space-like.
- (e.g. Schwarzschild with $R_0 < 2M$, small perturbations thereof)

Case C: Exterior problem.

Domain $D = \mathbb{R}^3/B(0, R_0) \times \mathbb{R}^+$, foliation $\Sigma_t = \mathbb{R}^3/B(0, R_0) \times \{t\}$,

- (i) The surfaces Σ_t are space-like.
- (iii) The lateral boundary $S(0, R_0) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ is time-like, with Dirichlet or Neuman boundary condition.

The main result: Take 2

Theorem (T'09)

Assume Case A, Case B or Case C. Suppose that the evolution (CP) has the following properties:

- uniform forward energy bounds.
- weak local energy decay estimates.
- stationary local energy decay estimates.

Then in normalized coordinates the solution u satisfies the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t,x)| &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - |x| \rangle^2} ||\nabla u(0)||_{H^m} \\ |\partial_t u(t,x)| &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - |x| \rangle^3} ||\nabla u(0)||_{H^m} \\ |\partial_x u(t,x)| &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle r \rangle \langle t - |x| \rangle^3} ||\nabla u(0)||_{H^m} \end{aligned}$$

Normalized coordinates

Motivation: Fix the geometry of null cones. General form of the metric *g*:

 $g = m + g_{lr} + g_{sr},$

 g_{lr} = long range spherically symmetric, with $S_{rad}(r^{-1})$ coefficients,

$$g_{lr} = g_{lr,tt}(r)dt^{2} + g_{lr,tr}(r)dtdr + g_{lr,rr}(r)dr^{2} + g_{lr,\omega\omega}(r)r^{2}d\omega^{2}$$

Normal form:

$$g_{lr} = g_{lr,\omega\omega}(r)r^2d\omega^2$$

Tools:

Conformal transformations.

• Changes of coordinates $t \rightarrow t + O(\log r), r \rightarrow r + O(\log r)$. **Example:** the Regge-Wheeler coordinates in Schwarzschild/Kerr space-times

Energy bounds

Definition: The evolution (CP) is forward bounded if the following estimates hold:

 $\|\nabla u(t_1)\|_{H^k} \le c_k \|\nabla u(t_0)\|_{H^k}, \qquad t_1 > t_0 \ge 0, \quad k \ge 0$

Difficulty in Kerr: The positivity of the conserved ∂_t energy fails near the event horizon (ergosphere). Redeeming feature = red shift: exponential energy decay near bicharacteristics along the event horizon.

Theorem (Dafermos-Rodnianski '07)

Uniform energy bounds for Schwarzchild and Kerr with small angular momentum (and a larger class of small perturbations of Schwarzschild).

Alternate view: Uniform energy bounds are a side effect of local energy decay. Earlier work by Laba-Soffer, Blue-Soffer, Twainy, Finster-Smoller, Finster-Kamran-Smoller-Yau

The linear wave equation:local energy decay

 $\Box \phi = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

Local energy decay (also known as Morawetz estimates):

$$\|\nabla_{x,t}\phi(x,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times B_R)} \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_{x,t}\phi(x,0)\|_{L^2}$$

Heuristics: A speed 1 wave spends at most O(R) time inside B_R . Morawetz's proof uses the positive commutator method. If P and Q are selfadjoint, respectively skewadjoint operators then

$$2\Re \langle P\phi, Q\phi \rangle = \langle [Q, P]\phi, \phi \rangle$$

Apply this with

$$P = \Box, \qquad Q = \partial_r + \frac{n-1}{2r}.$$

to obtain

 $\|r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla \phi(x,t)\|_{L^{2}} + \|\phi(0,t)\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \|\nabla_{x,t}\phi(x,0)\|_{L^{2}}, \quad n = 3$

The local energy norms

At the L^2 level we set

$$||u||_{LE} = \sup_{k} ||\langle r \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} u||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R} \times A_{k})}, \qquad A_{k} = \{|x| \approx 2^{k}\} \times \mathbb{R}$$

We also define its H^1 counterpart, as well as the dual norm

$$||u||_{LE^{1}} = ||\nabla u||_{LE} + ||\langle r\rangle^{-1}u||_{LE} \quad ||f||_{LE^{*}} = \sum_{k} ||\langle r\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} f||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R} \times A_{k})}$$

Sharp formulation of local energy decay:

$$(LE) ||u||_{LE^1} \le ||\Box u||_{LE^*} + ||\nabla u(0)||_{L^2}$$

Theorem (Metcalfe-T 07)

(*LE*) holds for small $S(r^{-\epsilon})$ perturbations of the Minkowski metric. Extensive work on this, e.g by Strauss, Keel-Smith-Sogge, Burq-Planchon-Stalker-Tahvildar-Zadeh, Metcalfe-Sogge, etc.

Local energy decay in geometries with trapping

Example: Schwarzschild space-time, with trapped set = all null geodesics tangent to the photon sphere r = 3M.

Redeeming feature: hyperbolic flow around trapped null geodesics.

Heuristics: frequency λ waves will stay localized up to time log λ (Ehrenfest time) near the trapped set, then disperse.

Consequence: $|\log \lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ loss in (LE) at frequency λ on trapped set.

Theorem

Weak local energy decay holds for Schwarzschild, also for Kerr with small angular momentum.

Schwarzschild space-time: work by Laba-Soffer, Blue-Soffer, Blue-Sterbenz, Dafermos-Rodnianski and

Marzuola-Metcalfe-T.-Tohaneanu.

Kerr space time: T.-Tohaneanu (also related work by

Stationary local energy decay

Definition:

 $(SLE) \qquad ||u||_{LE^{1}[t_{0},t_{1}]} \leq ||\Box u||_{LE^{*}} + ||\nabla u(t_{0})||_{L^{2}} + ||\nabla u(t_{1})||_{L^{2}} + ||\partial_{t}u||_{LE}$ $(LE) \implies (SLE), \text{ but (WLE)} \not\Longrightarrow (SLE)$

Example: Kerr waves with $\partial_t u = 0$. Then (SLE) is elliptic outside the ergosphere but not inside !

Theorem (Metcalfe-T.-Tohaneanu '11) Weak local energy decay holds for small perturbations of Schwarzschild, $g = g_S + \epsilon O(r^{-1})$.

Proof of the main theorem

Klainerman's vector field method, vector fields:

$$Z = (\partial_{\alpha}, \Omega = x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i, S = t \partial_t + x \partial_x)$$

Weak local energy decay + commuting with vector fields:

$$\|Z^{\alpha}u\|_{LE^{1}} \leq \|\nabla u(0)\|_{H^{m}}, \qquad |\alpha| \ll m$$

It remains to show that

$$|u(t,x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - |x| \rangle^2} ||u_{\lesssim m}||_{LE^1}$$

where

$$u_{\leq m} = \{u_\alpha\}_{|\alpha \leq m}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Two step iteration

Step 1: Improved bounds in region *U*.

 $\Box u_{\alpha} = Q_{sr} u_{\leq \alpha+2}$



Use Cauchy-Schwarz

t=1

• Elliptic estimate for ∇u_{α} in terms of u_{α} and Su_{α} .

Step 2: Improved bounds in region *R*.

- Stationary local energy decay
- Sobolev embeddings
- Elliptic estimate for ∇u_{α} in terms of u_{α} and Su_{α} .



Maxwell equations

A - electromagnetic potential, F = dA -electromagnetic field

$$dF = 0, \qquad \nabla^i F_{ij} = 0$$

Kerr space-time and perturbations thereof: Energy estimate:

 $\|F(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|F(0)\|_{L^2}$

Local energy decay:

 $||F||_{LE_K} \leq ||F(0)||_{L^2}$

Pointwise decay (conjectured by Penrose)[peeling estimates]:

$$\begin{split} |F(\bar{L}, e)| &\leq \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - |x| \rangle^2} \\ |F(\bar{L}, L)| + |F(e, e)| &\leq \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2 \langle t - |x| \rangle} \\ |F(L, e)| &\leq \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^3} \end{split}$$