## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Investigating the Efficacy of Paroxetine in Developmental Stuttering

Pierpaolo Busan, MSc, \* Piero Paolo Battaglini, MD, \* Massimo Borelli, MSc, \* Pasquale Evaristo, MD,† Fabrizio Monti, MD,‡ and Giovanna Pelamatti, PhD§

Objectives: Paroxetine has been reported to be useful for management of stuttering symptoms, but only a few reports have examined its effects. We have investigated the efficacy of paroxetine in a randomized, placebo-controlled study.

Methods: Five stuttering subjects received paroxetine at 20 mg once daily at night for 12 weeks, and 5 received placebo. The percentages of stuttered words and stuttering-associated movements during speech were measured at baseline and after 6 and 12 weeks of treatment. Moreover, left primary motor cortex excitability was measured using transcranial magnetic stimulation. Specifically, resting and active motor thresholds and the cortical silent period (CSP) were obtained at the same periods in both groups.

Results: Paroxetine did not affect the percentage of stuttered words between groups. Stuttering-associated movements, however, during speech in facial muscular districts were significantly reduced in subjects treated with paroxetine. Finally, paroxetine administration shortened the CSP with no effect on motor thresholds.

Conclusion: Paroxetine may be useful in qualitative management of stuttering symptoms and may act on the stuttering brain by diminution of intracortical inhibition, as revealed by the shortening of the CSP after paroxetine administration.

Key Words: associated movements, paroxetine, silent period, stuttering, transcranial magnetic stimulation

(Clin Neuropharm 2009;32: 183-188)

N BORELLI

Developmental stuttering is ob-	and
syllable repetition	ces,
in addition	or
and hades had assess and managers the	he
	ta da anti-
Contractional construct there and set that the other of	
competition there play a new all provide reduction	
me	JuilS
allc	g might be
cons dysfunction	and more
speciergic dysfunction of	the basal
ganglvotnesis is indirectly confirmed by	

From the \*BRAIN Center of Neuroscience, Department of Life Sciences, University of Trieste, †Mental Health Department, Azienda per i Servizi Sanitari n.1, and Departments of ‡Clinical and Experimental Medicine and Clinical and Experimental Neuroscience, and §Psychology, University of Trieste, Italy.

Clinical Neuropharmacology • Volume 32, Number 4, July/August 2009

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Pierpaolo Busan, MSc, Department of Life Sciences, University of Trieste, Via Fleming,

22, 34127 Trieste, Italy; E-mail: busan@psico.univ.trieste.it Copyright © 2009 by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

DOI: 10.1097/WNF.0b013e31819817eb

of anti controll associat adminis It h

balance single no of speec of selec treatmer

Rej stutterin effects h with par stutterin present the stutte Both qu were obt cortex o stimulati

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eigh 28.8 year Subj paroxetin experime consent v any point well toles obtained, with the assigned In th years [SI was admi range, 19 placebo v Two over to th type of r None of therapy baseline

## Fluency Measurements

St obtaine

with th life ev differer were at Instrum

www.clinicalneuropharm.com | 183

Copyright © 2009 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited