

# Positive radial solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the Minkowski-curvature equation in a ball

ISABEL COELHO \*

Área Departamental de Matemática  
Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa  
Rua Conselheiro Emídio Navarro 1, 1950-062 Lisboa, Portugal  
and

Département de Mathématique  
Université Libre de Bruxelles  
CP 214 Boulevard du Triomphe, 1050 Bruxelles, Belgium  
E-mail: [isabel.coelho@ulb.ac.be](mailto:isabel.coelho@ulb.ac.be)

CHIARA CORSATO AND SABRINA RIVETTI †

Dipartimento di Matematica e Geoscienze  
Università degli Studi di Trieste  
Via A. Valerio 12/1, 34127 Trieste, Italy  
E-mail: [chiara.corsato@phd.units.it](mailto:chiara.corsato@phd.units.it), [sabrina.rivetti@phd.units.it](mailto:sabrina.rivetti@phd.units.it)

July 4, 2012

## Abstract

We study the existence and multiplicity of positive radial solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the Minkowski-curvature equation

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla v|^2}}\right) = f(|x|, v) & \text{in } B_R, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R, \end{cases}$$

where  $B_R$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ). Depending on the behaviour of  $f = f(x, s)$  near  $s = 0$ , we prove the existence of either one, two or three positive solutions. All results are obtained by reduction to an equivalent non-singular one-dimensional problem, to which variational methods can be applied in a standard way.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35J25, 35J60, 35J62, 35J20, 35J93, 47J30.

*Keywords and Phrases:* quasilinear elliptic differential equation, Minkowski-curvature, Dirichlet boundary condition, radial solution, positive solution, existence, multiplicity, variational methods.

---

\*Research supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (SFRH/BD/61484/2009).

†Research supported by MIUR, in the frame of the PRIN “Equazioni differenziali ordinarie e applicazioni”, and by University of Trieste, in the frame of the project “Equazioni differenziali ordinarie: aspetti qualitativi e numerici”.

# 1 Introduction

This paper focuses on the study of the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the quasilinear mixed boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}}\right)' = r^{N-1}f(r, u) & \text{in } ]0, R[, \\ u'(0) = 0, \quad u(R) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \geq 2$ . Solutions of (1) correspond to the radially symmetric solutions of the  $N$ -dimensional Dirichlet problem associated with the Minkowski-curvature equation

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla v|^2}}\right) = f(|x|, v) & \text{in } B_R, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  $B_R$  is the open ball centered at 0 of radius  $R$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $v(x) = u(r)$  for all  $x \in \overline{B_R}$  with  $r = |x|$ .

We point out that this problem is of interest in the theory of relativity: for a discussion we refer, e.g., to [2], [7] and the references contained therein. One motivation for studying the existence of positive solutions of (1) comes from the observation that any positive solution  $u \in C^2(\overline{B_R})$  of the autonomous  $N$ -dimensional problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla v|^2}}\right) = f(v) & \text{in } B_R, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

with  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ , is necessarily radially symmetric. This fact is a simple consequence of the results in [8]: some details are given in the Appendix.

This work provides a partial extension of the results obtained in [6] to the radial problem (1). It also yields a completion of the recent papers [3] and [4]. Indeed, we are able to consider more general nonlinearities and to get higher multiplicity results. The model example is

$$f(r, s) = \lambda a(r)s^p + \mu b(r)s^q.$$

Here the exponents  $p, q$  satisfy  $0 < p < 1 < q$ , the parameters  $\lambda, \mu$  are nonnegative, and the functions  $a, b : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and positive somewhere, in particular they may change sign. Under these assumptions we prove the existence of at least three positive solutions for all sufficiently large values of  $\mu$  and all small positive values of  $\lambda$ .

However, other situations can be dealt with. In particular, if  $\lambda = 0$ , we obtain the existence of at least two positive solutions for sufficiently large values of the parameter  $\mu$ . On the other hand, if  $\mu = 0$ , we can guarantee the existence of at least one positive solution for any  $\lambda > 0$ . Finally, supposing that  $f$  is linear, e.g.,  $\lambda = 0$  and  $q = 1$ , we show the existence of at least one positive solution, provided  $\mu$  is sufficiently large.

We remark that, unlike the semilinear case, we can avoid here any growth restriction on  $f$  with respect to possible critical exponents.

Similarly to [6], our approach is variational and based upon the search of nontrivial critical points of the action functional associated with a suitable modified problem.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the space where to settle the equivalent one-dimensional problem and we prove some a-priori estimates. Section 3 is devoted to the statement and proof of our existence and multiplicity results.

**Notation.** We list a few notations that will be used throughout this paper. For functions  $u, v : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we write  $u \geq v$  if  $u(t) \geq v(t)$  a.e. in  $[0, R]$ . Instead we write  $u > v$  if  $u \geq v$  and  $u(t) > v(t)$  in a subset of  $[0, R]$  having positive measure, moreover we say that  $u$  is positive if  $u > 0$ . We also set  $u \vee v = \max\{u, v\}$  and  $u \wedge v = \min\{u, v\}$ . In particular,  $u^+ = u \vee 0$  and  $u^- = -(u \wedge 0)$ . Finally, for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \geq 2$ ,  $2^*$  denotes  $2N/(N-2)$  (to be read  $+\infty$  if  $N = 2$ ).

## 2 Preliminaries

This section is devoted to the introduction of some technical tools that will be used in the sequel. Throughout we assume

( $h_1$ )  $f : [0, R] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the  $L^1$ -Carathéodory conditions i.e., for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$ ,  $f(r, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(\cdot, s) : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable, and for each  $M > 0$  there is  $\gamma \in L^1(0, R)$  such that  $|f(r, s)| \leq \gamma(r)$  for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$  and every  $s \in [-M, M]$ ,

and we set  $F(r, s) = \int_0^s f(r, \xi) d\xi$ . We define  $\phi, \Phi : ]-1, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi(y) = \frac{y}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(y) = \int_0^y \phi(\xi) d\xi. \quad (3)$$

**Notion of solution.** We say that a function  $u \in C^1([0, R])$  is a solution of (1) if  $\|u'\|_\infty < 1$ ,  $r^{N-1}\phi(u') \in W^{1,1}(0, R)$ ,  $u$  satisfies the equation a.e. in  $[0, R]$  and the boundary conditions in (1). Further, it is said to be positive if  $u > 0$ .

With the aim of finding positive solutions of (1), we will first introduce an equivalent formulation of the problem aforementioned.

Let us consider a modification of the function  $f$ . Define  $\tilde{f} : [0, R] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by setting, for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$

$$\tilde{f}(r, s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |s| \geq R+1, \\ f(r, s) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq R, \\ \text{linear} & \text{if } -(R+1) < s < 0 \text{ or } R < s < R+1. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

We notice that  $\tilde{f}$  satisfies the  $L^1$ -Carathéodory conditions and there exists  $\gamma \in L^1(0, R)$  such that

$$|\tilde{f}(r, s)| \leq \gamma(r), \quad (6)$$

for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$  and for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Set  $\sigma = \phi'(\phi^{-1}(\|\gamma\|_{L^1}))$  and define  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\psi(y) = \begin{cases} \sigma \cdot (y + \phi^{-1}(\|\gamma\|_{L^1})) - \|\gamma\|_{L^1} & \text{if } y < -\phi^{-1}(\|\gamma\|_{L^1}), \\ \phi(y) & \text{if } |y| \leq \phi^{-1}(\|\gamma\|_{L^1}), \\ \sigma \cdot (y - \phi^{-1}(\|\gamma\|_{L^1})) + \|\gamma\|_{L^1} & \text{if } y > \phi^{-1}(\|\gamma\|_{L^1}). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Let  $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$\Psi(y) = \int_0^y \psi(\xi) d\xi. \quad (8)$$

It satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}y^2 \leq \Psi(y) \leq \frac{1}{2}\sigma y^2 \quad (9)$$

for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consider the modified problem

$$\begin{cases} -(r^{N-1}\psi(u'))' = r^{N-1}\tilde{f}(r, u) & \text{in } ]0, R[, \\ u'(0) = 0, \quad u(R) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

We say that a function  $u \in C^1([0, R])$  is a solution of the equation in (10) if  $r^{N-1}\psi(u') \in W^{1,1}(0, R)$  and  $u$  satisfies the equation in (10) a.e. in  $[0, R]$ ; moreover  $u$  is a solution of (10) if in addition it satisfies the boundary conditions. Notice that  $\psi$  is defined in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then there is no need to impose any assumptions on the boundedness of  $u'$  in  $[0, R]$ . In fact, the structure of the equation implies a natural bound on  $u'$ , as the following proposition evidences.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Assume  $(h_1)$ . Then a positive function  $u \in C^1([0, R])$  is a solution of (1) if and only if it is a solution of (10).*

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be a positive solution of (1). From  $u(R) = 0$  and  $\|u'\|_\infty < 1$ , we obtain the estimate  $0 \leq u(r) < R$  for all  $r \in [0, R]$ , so

$$\tilde{f}(r, u(r)) = f(r, u(r)) \quad \text{for a.e. } r \in [0, R].$$

Since  $u'(0) = 0$ , integrating the equation in (1) between 0 and a fixed  $r \in ]0, R[$ , we get

$$|r^{N-1}\phi(u'(r))| \leq \int_0^r s^{N-1} |f(s, u)| ds,$$

hence

$$|\phi(u'(r))| \leq \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{N-1} |f(s, u)| ds \leq \|\gamma\|_{L^1}.$$

Therefore  $\|u'\|_\infty \leq \phi^{-1}(\|\gamma\|_{L^1})$ , which implies that

$$\psi(u'(r)) = \phi(u'(r)) \quad \text{for all } r \in [0, R]$$

and we conclude that  $u$  is a positive solution of (10).

On the other hand, if  $u$  is a positive solution of (10), arguing as above, we see that

$$\|u'\|_\infty \leq \psi^{-1}(\|\gamma\|_{L^1}).$$

In particular, we get  $\|u'\|_\infty < 1$ . Then, as before,  $0 \leq u(r) < R$  for all  $r \in [0, R]$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u'(r)) &= \psi(u'(r)) & \text{for all } r \in [0, R], \\ f(r, u(r)) &= \tilde{f}(r, u(r)) & \text{for a.e. } r \in [0, R] \end{aligned}$$

and  $u$  is a positive solution of (1). □

This proposition allows to turn our attention to the search of positive solutions of (10). In this context, for simplicity of notation, we will always denote the modified function by  $f$ .

In order to introduce the variational formulation of problem (10), following [5], we define the space

$$\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R) = \left\{ w \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, R]) : \int_0^R r^{N-1} (w')^2 dr < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad w(R) = 0 \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$  is a Hilbert space with respect to the norm

$$\|w\|_R = \left( \int_0^R r^{N-1} (w')^2 dr \right)^{1/2}$$

and the inclusion holds

$$\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R) \subseteq C([0, R]).$$

Now we point out some properties of  $\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ , which play a central role in the theorem we are going to prove.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any  $p > (N - 2)/2$ , there exists a constant  $c = c(R, p) > 0$  such that the estimate*

$$\|r^p u\|_\infty \leq c \|u\|_R$$

holds for all  $u \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ .

*Proof.* If  $N > 2$ , the result is exactly [5, Corollary 2]. For completeness we show that it also holds for  $N = 2$ . Fix  $p > 0$ : for any  $r \in ]0, R]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |r^p u(r)| &= \left| r^p \int_r^R u' ds \right| \leq \left( \int_r^R \frac{r^{2p}}{s} ds \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_r^R s |u'|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( r^{2p} \log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_R. \end{aligned}$$

Now name  $l : ]0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the function  $l(y) = y^{2p} \log\left(\frac{R}{y}\right)$ . This function is continuous and bounded, so there exists a positive constant  $c = c(R, p)$  such that  $|l(y)| \leq c$  for all  $y \in ]0, R]$ . Then we get the conclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any  $q \in [2, 2^*[$ , there exists a constant  $d = d(R, q) > 0$  such that the estimate*

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1} |u|^q dr \leq d \|u\|_R^q$$

holds for all  $u \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ .

*Proof.* As before, we refer to [5, Proposition 3] for the case  $N > 2$ . As for  $N = 2$ , we apply Lemma 2.2, with  $p = 1/q$  and we get

$$\int_0^R r |u|^q dr = \int_0^R \left( r^{1/q} |u| \right)^q dr \leq R \|r^{1/q} u\|_\infty^q \leq d \|u\|_R^q,$$

where  $d = R \cdot c^q$  and  $c$  is the constant in the statement of the previous Lemma.  $\square$

In particular, we deduce that there exists a Poincaré-like constant  $C_P = C_P(R) > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1} |u|^2 dr \leq C_P \|u\|_R^2, \quad (12)$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ .

We are now in position of defining the action functional associated with (10), that is, for  $v \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}(v) = \int_0^R r^{N-1} \Psi(v') dr - \int_0^R r^{N-1} F(r, v) dr.$$

In the rest of the section we list some properties of problem (10).

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $u \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{I}$ , then  $u$  belongs to  $C^1([0, R])$  and is a solution of (10).*

*Proof.* The proof closely follows the line of [5, Proposition 5]. Since  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, R])$  and  $|\psi(s)| \leq \sigma |s|$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we see that the function  $r^{N-1}\psi(u')$  belongs to  $L_{\text{loc}}^1([0, R])$ . Moreover, by  $(h_1)$ , the function  $r^{N-1}f(r, u) \in L^1(0, R)$ . On the other hand, since  $u$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{I}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ , it satisfies  $u(R) = 0$  and

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1} \psi(u') v' dr = \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, u) v dr$$

for all  $v \in C_0^\infty(0, R)$ . Therefore, we infer that  $r^{N-1}\psi(u') \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, R])$  and the following equality holds

$$-(r^{N-1}\psi(u'))' = r^{N-1}f(r, u), \quad (13)$$

a.e. in  $]0, R[$ . As a consequence,  $u \in C^1([0, R])$ . It remains to study the behaviour of  $u$  as  $r$  tends to  $0^+$ . Set

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^s \gamma(\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $\gamma$  is defined in (6). Notice that, since  $\gamma \in L^1(0, R)$ , its primitive  $\Gamma \in W^{1,1}(0, R)$ . Taking  $0 < r_1 < r_2 \leq R$  and integrating (13) between  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |r_2^{N-1}\psi(u'(r_2)) - r_1^{N-1}\psi(u'(r_1))| &\leq \int_{r_1}^{r_2} r^{N-1} |f(r, u)| dr \\ &\leq r_2^{N-1} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \gamma(r) dr \\ &= r_2^{N-1} (\Gamma(r_2) - \Gamma(r_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The uniform continuity of  $\Gamma$  implies that the function  $r^{N-1}\psi(u')$  has finite limit as  $r$  tends to  $0^+$ . In particular, the condition  $\int_0^R r^{N-1} |u'|^2 dr < +\infty$ , then  $\int_0^R r^{N-1} |\psi(u')|^2 dr < +\infty$ , forces the limit to be 0.

Hence, for any fixed  $r \in ]0, R]$  estimate (14) yields

$$|r^{N-1}\psi(u'(r))| \leq r^{N-1}\Gamma(r).$$

Observing that  $|s| \leq |\psi(s)|$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$|u'(r)| \leq |\psi(u'(r))| \leq \Gamma(r)$$

for all  $r \in ]0, R]$ . In particular,  $u \in C^1([0, R])$ , with  $u'(0) = 0$ . We can conclude in this way that  $u$  is a solution of (10).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Assume  $(h_1)$  and let  $f(r, 0) \geq 0$ , for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$ . If  $u \in C^1([0, R])$  is a nontrivial solution of*

$$-(r^{N-1}\psi(u'))' = r^{N-1}f(r, u) \quad \text{in } ]0, R[, \quad (15)$$

then  $u$  is positive.

*Proof.* Multiplying equation (15) by  $u^-$  and integrating from 0 to  $R$ , we get

$$-\int_0^R (r^{N-1}\psi(u'))' u^- dr = \int_0^R r^{N-1}f(r, u)u^- dr. \quad (16)$$

By the modification introduced in (5),  $f(r, s) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$  and every  $s \leq 0$ , so the right-hand side of (16) is nonnegative. Notice that the function  $u^-$  belongs to  $W^{1,1}(0, R)$ , then we can integrate by parts the left-hand side of (16) and, by the oddness of  $\psi$ , we have

$$\int_0^R (r^{N-1}\psi(u'))' u^- dr = -\int_0^R r^{N-1}\psi(u')(u^-)' dr = \int_0^R r^{N-1}\psi((u^-)')(u^-)' dr.$$

Therefore we get

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1}\psi((u^-)')(u^-)' dr \leq 0.$$

Since  $\psi$  is strictly increasing and  $\psi(0) = 0$ , we have  $\psi(s)s \geq 0$ , for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and the equality holds if and only if  $s = 0$ . Hence we can conclude that the nontrivial solution  $u$  is such that  $u^- = 0$ , that is  $u > 0$ .  $\square$

### 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume  $(h_1)$ ,*

(h<sub>2</sub>) *there exist  $a, b$ , with  $0 \leq a < b \leq R$ , such that  $\liminf_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(r, s)}{s^2} > -\infty$  uniformly a.e. in  $[a, b]$ ,*

(h<sub>3</sub>) *there exist  $c, d$ , with  $a \leq c < d < b$ , such that  $\limsup_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_c^d r^{N-1} \frac{F(r, s)}{s^2} dr = +\infty$ ,*

(h<sub>4</sub>)  *$f(r, 0) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$ ,*

(h<sub>5</sub>)  *$g : [0, R] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the  $L^1$ -Carathéodory conditions,*

and set  $G(r, s) = \int_0^s g(r, \xi) d\xi$ . Assume further

(h<sub>6</sub>) *there exists  $w \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$  such that  $w > 0$ ,  $\|w'\|_\infty < 1$  and  $\int_0^R r^{N-1}G(r, w) dr > 0$ ,*

(h<sub>7</sub>)  *$\limsup_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G(r, s)}{s^2} \leq 0$  uniformly a.e. in  $[0, R]$ ,*

(h<sub>8</sub>)  $\liminf_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G(r, s)}{s^2} > -\infty$  uniformly a.e. in  $[a, b]$ , with  $a$  and  $b$  defined in (h<sub>2</sub>),

(h<sub>9</sub>)  $g(r, 0) = 0$  for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$ .

Then there exist  $\mu^* > 0$  and a function  $\lambda : ]\mu^*, +\infty[ \rightarrow ]0, +\infty[$  such that, for all  $\mu > \mu^*$  and all  $\lambda \in ]0, \lambda(\mu)[$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}}\right)' = r^{N-1}(\lambda f(r, u) + \mu g(r, u)) & \text{in } ]0, R[, \\ u'(0) = 0, \quad u(R) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

has at least three positive solutions.

*Proof. Step 1. Variational setting of the problem.* Following the procedure applied in Proposition 2.1, we replace  $f$  and  $g$  with positive functions, we still denote by  $f$  and  $g$ , which satisfy all the assumptions of the theorem, and such that, for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$ ,  $f(r, \cdot), g(r, \cdot)$  both coincide with the original functions in  $[0, R]$ ,  $f(r, s) = 0$  for  $|s| \geq R + 1$ ,  $g(r, s) = 0$  for  $s \leq 0$  or  $s \geq R + 1$ .

Since the modified functions  $f$  and  $g$  vanish outside the rectangle  $[0, R] \times [-(R + 1), R + 1]$  and satisfy the  $L^1$ -Carathéodory conditions, we can find constants  $c_f, c_g > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1}|F(r, v)| dr \leq c_f \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^R r^{N-1}G(r, v) dr \leq c_g \quad (18)$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ . Note that, by (h<sub>1</sub>) and (h<sub>5</sub>), there exists  $\gamma \in L^1(0, R)$  such that

$$\lambda|f(r, s)| + \mu|g(r, s)| \leq \gamma(r) \quad (19)$$

for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$  and every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Without loss of generality, we can also suppose that

$$\phi(\|w'\|_\infty) < \|\gamma\|_{L^1},$$

where  $w \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$  is the function described in (h<sub>6</sub>).

We define  $\psi$  as in (7),  $\Psi$  as in (8) and, for all  $\lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu} : \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by setting

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu}(v) = \int_0^R r^{N-1}\Psi(v') dr - \lambda \int_0^R r^{N-1}F(r, v) dr - \mu \int_0^R r^{N-1}G(r, v) dr.$$

*Step 2. Existence of a global minimizer.* The functional  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu}$  is  $C^1$  and weakly lower semi-continuous. Moreover, it is coercive and bounded from below: indeed, from (9) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu}(v) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R r^{N-1}|v'|^2 dr - \lambda \int_0^R r^{N-1}F(r, v) dr - \mu \int_0^R r^{N-1}G(r, v) dr \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_R^2 - (\lambda c_f + \mu c_g) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ . Consequently, for each  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $\mu \geq 0$  there exists  $u_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$  such that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu}(u_1) = \min_{v \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu}(v).$$



Take  $\mu^* > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1} \Phi(w') dr - \mu^* \int_0^R r^{N-1} G(r, w) dr + 2c_f \leq 0 \quad (21)$$

where  $\Phi$  is defined in (4). Then if  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $\mu > \mu^*$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu}(u_1) \leq \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu}(w) < -c_f < 0, \quad (22)$$

which implies  $u_1 \neq 0$ .

*Step 3. Existence of a mountain pass critical point.* We are now interested in searching a second critical point of  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu}$ , using the mountain pass theorem (see [1]). Let us verify that the Palais-Smale condition holds. Take  $(u_n)_n$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$  a Palais-Smale sequence. From (20) it follows that  $(u_n)_n$  is bounded; this implies that there exists a subsequence, that we still denote by  $(u_n)_n$ , which weakly converges in  $\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ . Let  $u$  be the limit of this sequence. Since  $(\mathcal{I}'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n)[v])_n$  converges to 0, for all  $v \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ , choosing  $v = u_n - u$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \int_0^R r^{N-1} \psi(u'_n)(u'_n - u') dr - \lambda \int_0^R r^{N-1} f(r, u_n)(u_n - u) dr + \right. \\ \left. - \mu \int_0^R r^{N-1} g(r, u_n)(u_n - u) dr \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of weak convergence in  $\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$  and (19), applying Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^R r^{N-1} (\lambda f(r, u_n) + \mu g(r, u_n))(u_n - u) dr = 0.$$

Then it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \psi(u'_n)(u'_n - u') dr = 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \psi(u')(u'_n - u') dr = 0,$$

and then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^R r^{N-1} (\psi(u'_n) - \psi(u'))(u'_n - u') dr = 0.$$

In order to conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - u\|_R = 0,$$

it suffices to observe that

$$(\psi(s_1) - \psi(s_2))(s_1 - s_2) \geq (s_1 - s_2)^2,$$

for all  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . This shows that the Palais-Smale condition holds.

On the other hand, let us check that, for sufficiently small positive  $\lambda$ , we are in presence of the mountain pass geometry around the origin. By assumptions  $(h_5)$  and  $(h_7)$  there exist  $\vartheta > 0$  and  $\bar{\eta} > 0$  such that

$$G(r, s) \leq \vartheta |s|^{2+\bar{\eta}}$$

for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$  and all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . We can now state that, for any given  $\eta \in [0, \bar{\eta}]$ , the inequality

$$\int_0^R r^{N-1} G(r, v) dr \leq \vartheta(R+1)^{\bar{\eta}} \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v|^{2+\eta} dr$$

holds for all  $v \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ . Indeed, remember that from (h<sub>9</sub>) and from the definition of the truncated function  $g$ , we have  $G(r, s) = 0$  for all  $s \leq 0$  and  $G(r, s) = G(r, R+1)$  for all  $s \geq R+1$ . Therefore, for any  $\eta \in [0, \bar{\eta}]$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R r^{N-1} G(r, v) dr &= \int_0^R r^{N-1} G(r, v^+ \wedge (R+1)) dr \\ &\leq \vartheta \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v^+ \wedge (R+1)|^{2+\bar{\eta}} dr \\ &\leq \vartheta(R+1)^{2+\bar{\eta}} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left( \frac{v^+}{R+1} \wedge 1 \right)^{2+\bar{\eta}} dr \\ &\leq \vartheta(R+1)^{2+\bar{\eta}} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \left( \frac{v^+}{R+1} \wedge 1 \right)^{2+\eta} dr \\ &= \vartheta(R+1)^{\bar{\eta}-\eta} \int_0^R r^{N-1} (v^+ \wedge (R+1))^{2+\eta} dr \\ &\leq \vartheta(R+1)^{\bar{\eta}} \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v|^{2+\eta} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $\eta \in [0, \bar{\eta}]$  such that  $2 + \eta < 2^*$ , then by Lemma 2.3 there exists a constant  $d_\eta > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R r^{N-1} \Psi(v') dr - \mu \int_0^R r^{N-1} G(r, v) dr &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_R^2 - \mu \vartheta(R+1)^{\bar{\eta}} \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v|^{2+\eta} dr \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_R^2 - \mu \vartheta(R+1)^{\bar{\eta}} d_\eta \|v\|_R^{2+\eta} \\ &\geq \|v\|_R^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \mu \vartheta(R+1)^{\bar{\eta}} d_\eta \|v\|_R^\eta \right) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ . Now take  $\rho \in ]0, \|w\|_R[$  such that

$$\left( \frac{1}{2} - \mu \vartheta(R+1)^{\bar{\eta}} d_\eta \rho^\eta \right) > 0$$

for all  $r \in [0, \rho]$ . Fix a constant  $\lambda(\mu) \in ]0, 1[$  such that

$$r^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \mu \vartheta(R+1)^{\bar{\eta}} d_\eta r^\eta \right) - \lambda(\mu) c_f \geq 0 \quad (24)$$

for all  $r \in [0, \rho]$  and pick any  $\lambda \in ]0, \lambda(\mu)[$ . By (23) and (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu}(v) &= \int_0^R r^{N-1} \Psi(v') dr - \lambda \int_0^R r^{N-1} F(r, v) dr - \mu \int_0^R r^{N-1} G(r, v) dr \\ &\geq \|v\|_R^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \mu \vartheta(R+1)^{\bar{\eta}} d_\eta \|v\|_R^\eta \right) - \lambda c_f > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$  such that  $\|v\|_R = \rho$ . Since (22) also holds, by the mountain pass theorem we conclude that the functional  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu}$  has a critical point  $u_2$ , with  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, \mu}(u_2) > 0$  and then  $u_1 \neq u_2$ .

*Step 4. Existence of a local minimizer.* We observe that there exists a local minimum point  $u_3$  of  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\mu}$ , with  $\|u_3\|_R < \rho$ . To verify that  $u_3 \neq 0$ , let  $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$  be such that  $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$  in  $[0, R]$ ,  $\zeta(r) = 0$  for all  $r \in [0, a] \cup [b, R]$ , and  $\zeta(r) = 1$  for all  $r \in [c, d]$ . By assumptions  $(h_2)$ ,  $(h_3)$  and  $(h_8)$  there exist a constant  $K > 0$  and a strictly decreasing sequence  $(c_n)_n$  satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_n = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_c^d r^{N-1} \frac{F(r, c_n)}{c_n^2} dr = +\infty, \quad (26)$$

$$F(r, c_n \zeta(r)) \geq -K c_n^2 \zeta(r)^2 \text{ for a.e. } r \in [a, b] \text{ and all } n, \quad (27)$$

$$G(r, c_n \zeta(r)) \geq -K c_n^2 \zeta(r)^2 \text{ for a.e. } r \in [a, b] \text{ and all } n.$$

In particular we have  $\|c_n \zeta\|_R < \rho$  for large  $n$ . Then we compute, using also (9) and (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\mu}(c_n \zeta) &= \int_0^R r^{N-1} \Psi(c_n \zeta') dr - \lambda \int_0^R r^{N-1} F(r, c_n \zeta) dr - \mu \int_0^R r^{N-1} G(r, c_n \zeta) dr \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_0^R r^{N-1} (c_n \zeta')^2 dr - \lambda \int_a^b r^{N-1} F(r, c_n \zeta) dr - \mu \int_a^b r^{N-1} G(r, c_n \zeta) dr \\ &\leq c_n^2 \left( \frac{\sigma}{2} \|\zeta\|_R^2 - \lambda \int_c^d r^{N-1} \frac{F(r, c_n)}{c_n^2} dr + C_P(\lambda + \mu) K \|\zeta\|_R^2 \right) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

for large  $n$ . Hence we have  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\mu}(u_3) < 0$  and therefore  $u_3 \neq 0$ . Finally we observe that, by (23) and (24), we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\mu}(u_3) \geq \|u_3\|_R^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \mu \vartheta (R+1)^{\bar{\eta}} d_\eta \|u_3\|_R^\eta \right) - \lambda c_f > -c_f.$$

Since, by (22),  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\mu}(u_1) < -c_f$ , we conclude that  $u_1 \neq u_3$ .

*Conclusion.* The results contained in Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 ensure that each critical point of  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,\mu}$  is a nontrivial, therefore positive, solution of (17).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume  $(h_5)$ ,  $(h_6)$ ,  $(h_7)$  and  $(h_9)$ . Then there exists  $\mu^* > 0$  such that, for all  $\mu > \mu^*$ , the problem*

$$\begin{cases} - \left( \frac{r^{N-1} u'}{\sqrt{1 - |u'|^2}} \right)' = \mu r^{N-1} g(r, u) & \text{in } ]0, R[, \\ u'(0) = 0, \quad u(R) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

*has at least two positive solutions.*

*Proof.* The functional associated with (28) is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_\mu(v) = \int_0^R r^{N-1} \Psi(v') dr - \mu \int_0^R r^{N-1} G(r, v) dr \quad (29)$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ . Taking  $\mu^* > 0$  as in (21), Step 2 and Step 3 of the previous proof are still valid. This shows that for all  $\mu > \mu^*$  there exist two nontrivial critical points  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  for  $\mathcal{I}_\mu$ , therefore they are positive solutions of (28).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Assume  $(h_5)$ ,  $(h_6)$  and  $g(r, 0) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $r \in [0, R]$ . Then there exists  $\mu^* > 0$  such that, for all  $\mu > \mu^*$ , problem (28) has at least one positive solution.*

*Proof.* As for Proposition 3.2, the proof essentially follows the ideas of Theorem 3.1. Taking  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mu > 0$  in Step 2, we see that the functional  $\mathcal{I}_\mu$  in (29) has a minimizer  $u \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ . In order to prove that  $u \neq 0$ , we observe that from  $(h_6)$  there exists  $\mu^* > 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{I}_\mu(u) \leq \mathcal{I}_\mu(w) < 0,$$

for all  $\mu > \mu^*$ . Then  $u$  is a positive solution of (28).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Assume  $(h_1)$ ,  $(h_2)$ ,  $(h_3)$  and  $(h_4)$ . Then for all  $\lambda > 0$ , the problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\frac{r^{N-1}u'}{\sqrt{1-|u'|^2}}\right)' = \lambda r^{N-1}f(r, u) & \text{in } ]0, R[, \\ u'(0) = 0, \quad u(R) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

*has at least one positive solution.*

*Proof.* The functional associated with (30) is

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(v) = \int_0^R r^{N-1} \Psi(v') dr - \lambda \int_0^R r^{N-1} F(r, v) dr \quad (31)$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ . As in Step 1 and Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the existence of a global minimizer  $u \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$  of  $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ . In order to conclude, we should prove that  $u \neq 0$ . Following the line of Step 4, we take a function  $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(0, R)$ , such that  $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$  in  $[0, R]$ ,  $\zeta(r) = 0$  for all  $r \in [0, a] \cup [b, R]$  and  $\zeta(r) = 1$  for all  $r \in [c, d]$ . By  $(h_2)$  and  $(h_3)$  there exist a constant  $K > 0$  and a strictly decreasing sequence  $(c_n)_n$  satisfying (25), (26) and (27). Then we have

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(c_n \zeta) \leq c_n^2 \left( \frac{\sigma}{2} \|\zeta\|_R^2 - \lambda \int_c^d r^{N-1} \frac{F(r, c_n)}{c_n^2} dr + \lambda C_P K \|\zeta\|_R^2 \right).$$

Hence, for large  $n$ , we have  $\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u) \leq \mathcal{I}_\lambda(c_n \zeta) < 0$  and therefore  $u$  is nontrivial. So, by Lemma 2.5,  $u$  is a positive solution of (30).  $\square$

**Remark 3.1** The argument used in Step 3 to show the validity of (23) avoids to impose any restriction on the range of  $p$ : whatever  $p \in [1, +\infty[$  may be, the procedure described above can always be applied.

**Remark 3.2** Theorem 3.1 can be also stated in the case of an annular domain. One can show it following step-by-step the proof of the theorem produced here.

**Remark 3.3** Assume that  $f : [0, R] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. Then any solution  $u$  of (1) belongs to  $C^2([0, R])$ .

This can be seen as follows: let  $u$  be a solution of (1), then the function  $h(\cdot) = f(\cdot, u(\cdot))$  is continuous in  $[0, R]$ . Integrating the equation in (1) between 0 and  $r$ , for any  $r \in ]0, R]$ , we obtain

$$\phi(u'(r)) = - \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{N-1} h(s) ds.$$

Now we show that the function  $\phi(u')$  belongs to  $C^1([0, R])$ . Obviously, we have  $\phi(u') \in C^1(]0, R])$ . Next we verify that the following limit holds

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi(u'(r))}{r} = -\frac{h(0)}{N}. \quad (32)$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By continuity of  $h$  at 0, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|h(s) - h(0)| < \varepsilon$  holds, for any  $s \in [0, \delta[$ . Taking  $r \in ]0, \delta[$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{h(0)}{N} + \frac{\phi(u'(r))}{r} \right| &= \left| \frac{h(0)}{N} - \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{N-1} h(s) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{r^N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} (h(0) - h(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r^N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} ds = \frac{\varepsilon}{N}. \end{aligned}$$

which confirms the validity of (32) and which proves that  $\phi(u') \in C^1([0, R])$ . Since  $\phi^{-1} \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ , we conclude that  $u \in C^2([0, R])$ .

**Remark 3.4** Under the assumptions of Remark 3.3, any radial weak solution  $v$  of (2), with  $v \in C^1(\overline{B_R})$ ,  $\|\nabla v\|_\infty < 1$ , is of class  $C^2$ . In particular  $v$  is a classical solution of (2). In fact, as  $v$  is a weak solution of (2), it satisfies

$$\int_{B_R} \frac{\nabla v \cdot \nabla w}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla v|^2}} dx = \int_{B_R} f(|x|, v) w dx \quad (33)$$

for all  $w \in C_0^\infty(B_R)$ . Let us set  $u(r) = v(x)$ , for all  $x \in \overline{B_R}$  and all  $r \in [0, R]$  such that  $r = |x|$ : Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.1 prove that  $u$  is a solution of (1). Then, by Remark 3.3 we obtain that  $u \in C^2([0, R])$ . In order to conclude that  $v \in C^2(\overline{B_R})$ , it is enough to observe that

$$\partial_{x_i, x_j} v(0) = \delta_{ij} u''(0),$$

for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . Here  $\delta$  denotes as usual the Kronecker delta.

## 4 Appendix

*Claim.* Assume that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of class  $C^1$ . Then any positive solution  $u \in C^2(\overline{B_R})$  of (3) is radially symmetric.

Indeed, let  $u \in C^2(\overline{B_R})$  be a positive solution of (3) and fix  $L \in ]0, 1[$  such that  $\|\nabla u\|_\infty < L$  (such a constant exists by definition of solution of (3)). For simplicity we write the equation in (3) as

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(|\nabla u|^2) \nabla u) = f(u),$$

with  $a : [0, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$a(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s}}.$$

Easy computations yield

$$\operatorname{div}\left(a(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u\right) + f(u) = a(|\nabla u|^2) \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{x_i, x_i} u + 2a'(|\nabla u|^2) \sum_{i,j=1}^N \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_j} u \partial_{x_i, x_j} u + f(u).$$

The quadratic form associated with this second order differential operator is given by

$$a(|\nabla u|^2)|\xi|^2 + 2a'(|\nabla u|^2)\langle \nabla u, \xi \rangle^2,$$

with  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Notice that the results in [8] cannot be applied to this differential operator directly. Therefore we introduce a modification  $\bar{a} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the function  $a$ , defined by

$$\bar{a}(s) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1(s) & \text{if } s < 0, \\ a(s) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq L^2, \\ \alpha_2(s) & \text{if } L^2 < s < 1, \\ c & \text{if } s \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where the functions  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the constant  $c$  are such that  $\bar{a}$  belongs to  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , it is increasing and positive. We observe that there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$0 \leq \bar{a}'(s) < K$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, it is clear that the function  $u$  is a positive solution of the modified problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\bar{a}(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u\right) = f(u) & \text{in } B_R, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R. \end{cases}$$

The second order differential operator associated with this problem is given by

$$\bar{a}(|\nabla u|^2) \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{x_i, x_i} u + 2\bar{a}'(|\nabla u|^2) \sum_{i,j=1}^N \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_j} u \partial_{x_i, x_j} u + f(u)$$

and it satisfies all the assumptions in [8, Corollary 1]. Then we can easily conclude that  $u$  is symmetric with respect to any hyperplane passing through the origin, which means that  $u$  is radially symmetric.

## References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti and P.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Functional Analysis* 14 (1973), 349–381.
- [2] R. Bartnik and L. Simon, Spacelike hypersurfaces with prescribed boundary values and mean curvature, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 87 (1982/83), 131–152.
- [3] C. Bereanu, P. Jebelean and P. Torres, Positive radial solutions for Dirichlet problems with mean curvature operators in Minkowski space, preprint (2012).
- [4] C. Bereanu, P. Jebelean and P. Torres, Multiple positive radial solutions for a Dirichlet problem involving the mean curvature operator in Minkowski space, preprint (2012).

- [5] D. Bonheure, J.M. Gomes and L. Sanchez, Positive solutions of a second-order singular ordinary differential equation, *Nonlinear Analysis* 61 (2005) 1383–1399.
- [6] I. Coelho, C. Corsato, F. Obersnel and P. Omari, Positive solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the one-dimensional Minkowski-curvature equation, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* (2012), in press.
- [7] C. Gerhardt,  $H$ -surfaces in Lorentzian manifolds, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 89 (1983), 523–553.
- [8] B. Gidas, W. Ni and L. Nirenberg, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 68 (1979) 209–243.